Curriculum Optimization for Low-resource Speech Recognition Anastasia Kuznetsova[†], Anurag Kumar^{*†}, Jennifer Drexler Fox^{*}, Francis Tyers[†] [†]Indiana University Bloomington *Rev.com, USA

Background

- Resource constrained ASR until now remains a challenging task. Our *curriculum learning* based approach mitigates the lack of labelled training data;
- A ranking function is applied to input data and then the data is split into K equal portions (tasks). The set of tasks is called *curriculum*.
- ► We use the ranking function as the prior on the input data, as well as the learner's progress to optimize the sequence of ASR inputs with the help of *multi-armed bandit* (MAB) algorithms.

Milti-Armed Bandit

- ► MAB is the concept from reinforcement learning (RL) domain. Here we describe its main components;
- The agent takes actions $a_k \in \mathcal{A}_K$ inside the environment; it selects the best action following the *policy* π ;
- ▶ Policy π is a value function over all possible actions \mathcal{A}_{K} ;
- The environment generates the reward r reflecting the optimality of the current action;
- The agent adjusts the policy based on the reward to improve its actions in the future.

Complexity metrics

We hypothesize that the signal-based features have more significance for ASR than textual features and score input audios with compression ratio (CR) metric, where Sizebefore is the size of the audio before the compression and *Size_{after}* after compression:

$$CR = 1 - rac{Size_{before}}{Size_{after}}$$

- Audios containing less noise compress more and have higher CR, on the other hand noisy audios have lower CR;
- ► We compare CR to text-based *sentence length* (SL) and *sentence norm* (SN) derived from the sentence embeddings;

References

Graves et al., "Automated curriculum learning for neural networks," in Proc. ICML'17.



lected	k.	This	policy	is	generated	by	EXP3.	S
och.								

	CER					
Eu	Cv	Fy	Tt	Ку	Eu	
7.5	15.9	3.1	5.9	2.3	1.5	
7.5	9.8	2.6	5.6	1.9	1.5	
9.3	<u>9.7</u>	3.3	7.4	3.0	2.0	
8.1	10.2	<u>2.5</u>	6.2	2.2	1.7	
0.8	10.0	<u>2.5</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>1.8</u>	1.6	
0.0	9.9	3.6	6.3	3.0	2.2	
8.6	10.1	2.8	6.4	2.2	1.8	

Method

- number of mini-batches;
- the policy based on the reward r_t ;

where \mathcal{B}' is the batch sampled from task D_k and θ are the parameters of the neural ASR model (Graves et al., 2017); ► We experiment with two MAB algorithms, probabilistic EXP3.S and deterministic SW-UCB# adapted for non-stationary problems.

Algorithm 1: Cu							
Initialize: $D =$							
begin							
f	or $t \to T$						
	Draw k						
	$\mathcal{B}_{t,k} \leftarrow$						
	Train th						
	Observe						
	$r_t \leftarrow g$						
	Update						
e	nd						
end							

Results

The input audios are ranked and split into K tasks with equal

> At each iteration t the MAB selects the best action k and updates

 \triangleright The r_t is calculated from the loss-driven self-prediction gain (SPG)

$u_{\mathrm{SPG}} = L(\mathcal{B}', \theta) - L(\mathcal{B}', \theta') \qquad \mathcal{B}' \sim D_k$ (2)

urriculum Learning

 $= f(X), \pi \leftarrow 0;$

do

based on current π ; $sample(D_k);$ he model on $\mathcal{B}_{t,k}$; e progress gain ν_{SPG} ; $(\nu_{SPG});$ π on r_t ;

Our system achieved the highest relative decrease in WER of 33% relative for Chuvash (CV) and the minimum improvement of 5% for Tatar (Tt) language. For Fy, Tt and Ky, the best results are delivered with CR. For Cv the best WER was achieved by SL, however, the second best result is still achieved by CR. The policy over time (see Fig.) shows that harder k = 10 is preferred earlier in the training and policy value for easy task k = 1increases towards the end of the training since the model gets more information from the harder examples in the beginning.